Premiums thereon.... 1,801,399 36 Losses incurred Nevada Business 172,362 00 Risks written 2947 28 Premiums received Losses paid 926 52 Losses incurred 926 52 Premiums received ... 7.150 53 Losses paid 1.983 84 1.983 84 Losses incurred A. M. Brutis, Secretary.

VOV ANNUAL STATEMENT Of the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance 1903. On that day Judge Curler of capital paid up Assets\$ 5,377,669 46 rendered the decree, made in cpen Liabilities exclusive of capital and net surplus... 5,305,973 01 Income Premiums \..... 4.559,253 67 Other sources 372,878 63 Total income 1905.... 4,925,132 70 Expenditures Losses 2,507,672 01 Dividends Other expenditures.... Total expenditures, 1905 4,939,736 68 Business 1905 Risks written 14,426,325 00 Premiums thereon..... 516,040 68 judge of this district." Losses incurred 2.576,587 00 Nevada Business

CHAS. W. CAMP. Secretary. -0-0-ANNUAL STATEMENT Of the Penn. Mutual Life Insurance o., of Philadelphia, Penn. capital paid up Assets\$75,726,669 64 Liabilities exclusive of capital and net surplus ... 71,006,041 60 Income Premiums 14,200,241 58 made without affidavit of Judge Cur-Other sources 3,626,195 09 Total income 1905.... 17.826,436 64 Expenditures Losses, matured endowments and annuities 5,000,353 17

2,408 90

Risks written

Premiums received.....

Other expenditures 3.358,195 17 **Business 1905** Risks written 69,195,442 60 Premiums thereon 2,810,850 59 for new trial that "the several periods Losses incurred 2,845,460 25 of time limited may be enlarged by Mevada Business Risks written 32,500 00 or upon good cause Premiums received · WM. H. KINGSLEY, Secretary. -..0-0-INNUAL STATEMENT Of the Providence Washington Insur- further time to plead, move, or do any capital paid up\$ 500,000 00

Dividends and surrender values

2,339,570 21

Installment payments... 114,408 00

Assets Liabilities exclusive of cabital and net surplus. . 1,839,797 95 Income Premiums 2,435,447 68 Other sources 103,460 47 Total income 1905.... 2,538,908 15 Expenditures Losses 1,296,849 78 Dividends 50,000 00 Other expenditures 904 206 40 Total expenditures.... 2,251,056 13 **Business 1905** Risks written 400.171,129 00 Premiums thereon.... 2,456,415 63 Losses incurred 1 211 477 35 Nevada Business Risks written 56.087 00

Premiums received 1,607 67 A. . BEALS, Secty. -..0-0-OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE

STATE OF NEVADA. County of Ormsby, s. s.

G. Sweeney, being duly sworn, say they are members of the Nev., that on the 29th day of Jan. '95 amount of money that should be in the Treasury) made an official examination and count of the money and vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the same correct as follows:

Cain \$288,280 74 Paid coin venchers not returned to Controller 111,112 18

399,392 92 State School Fund Securitles. Irredeemable Nevada State School band 380,000,00 Mass. State 3 per cent 527 000 00 Nevada State Bonds 253,700 00 Mass. State 31/2 per cent 313,000 00 bonds United States Bonds 215 000 00 2,098,092 92 Total W. G. Douglass

James G. Sweeney Subscribed and sworn before me this 29th day of January, A. D. 1906. J. Doane. Notary Public, Ormsvy County, Nev.

For Sale.

Two quartz wagons, one wood and

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. Ebenezer Twaddle and Ebenezer Twaddle as Special Admr., of the Estate of Alexander Twaddle, de-

Plaintiffs and Respondents Theodore Winters, A. C. Winters, L W. Winters and Samuel Longa-

Defendants and Appellants From 2d Judicial District Court, Wasnoe County.

Messrs. Cheney and Massey, attorneys for Plaintiffs.

Alfred Chartz, attorney for Defend-

DECISION The remondents have moved to dis miss the appeal from the judgment because it was not taken within one year, and to dismiss the appeal from the order of the district court denving appellants motion for a new trial, also to strike from the records the statement on motion for a new trial, upon the ground that the statement was not filed within the time prescribed by law. The appeal from the judgment is dismissed because not taken until March, 1905, more than one year after its rendition on June 23. ompany, 309 Broadway, New York, the Second Judicial District court who had tried the case at Reno and court and had entered in the minutes an order "that all business and all cases and proceedings that have not been completed or in the process of con pleticn, and all new business that may be brought before the court during the absence of the presiding judge. be referred to Judge M. A. Murphy 98,009 12 of the first judicial district court of 2.334,054 95 the State of Nevada, and that he be requested to try, determine and dispose of all cases and business now before the court in the absence of the Fursuant to this request Judge Mu-

phy occupied the bench in Reno until July 31, 1903, when a recess was taken until a further order of the court. There was no other session until Judge Curler's return on August 17th. On July 17th, Judge Murphy in open court in Reno, made an order allowing plaintiff until August 15th in which to file objection to findings. and prepare additional findings.. On August 3d Judge Murphy at Carson City, and within his cwa first judicial district, by ar ex parte order ler's absence or inability, granted the defendants until September 15, 1903. within which to prepare, file and serve their notice and statement on motion for a new trial. Later exteasions were made by Judge Curler, but whether they are effectual depends upon this order, which respondents claim Judge Murphy was unauthorized Total expenditures 10,812,526 55 to make under Section 197 of the Practice Act which provides in regard to notices and statements on motions the written agreement of the parties, 4.392 94 court, or the judge before whom the case is tried," and under district court rule XLIII which directs that "no judge, except the judge having charge of the cause or proceeding shall grant ance Company of Providence R. I. act or thing required to be done in any cause or proceeding, unless it be 3.028,823 34 shown by affidavit that such judge is absent from the state, or from some other cause is unable to act."

Rule XLI provides: "When any district judge shall have entered upon the trial or hearing of any cause or proceeding, demurrer or motion, or made any ruling, order or decision therein, no other judge shall do any act or thing in or about said cause, proceeding, demurrer or motion, unless upon written request of the judge who shall have first entered upon the initiated a half century ago, and the trial or hearing of said cause, proceeding demurrer or motion."

Section 2573 of the Compiled laws, passed after section 197 of the Prace | iod previous to the admission of this tice Act as quoted, enacts: "The dis- State into the Union, and portrays trict judges of the State of Nevada the building and decay of saw and shall possess equal coextensive and quartz mills and the rise and decline concurrent jurisdiction and power. They shall each have power to hold the waters of which are here in litiga-FUNDS. court in any county of the State. tion. One witness testified that the They shall each exercise and perform Hawkins ditch, now known as the upthe powers, duties and functions of per Twaddle ditch, was completed in the court, and of Judges thereof, and 1857, and that he turned the water W. G. Douglas, and James of Judges at Chambers. Each judge into it that year. Others stated that shall have power to transact business water was running in the ditch and which may be dore in chambers at flume about that time, and that these Board of Examiners of the State of any point within the State. All of were aparently in the same place and this section is subject to the provi- of about the same capacity as ut they, (after having ascertained from sions that each judge may direct and present. the books of the State Controller the control the business in his own district, and shall see that it it properly performed."

We think under the minute order and circumstances related, the power inherent in Judge Curler to extend the time of filing the notice and statement became conferred upon Judge Murphy during the former's absence. and that Judge Murphy became the Judge in charge, endowed with the authority to grant the extension without the presentation of the amdavit showing the absence or inability of Judge Curler, as the rule requires before the order can be made by a Judge not

having the business in charge. Judge Curer's absence was presum ed to continue until his return was shown and consequently Judge Murphy's authority based upon that absence would likewise continue. It is said that under the first statute mentioned, the language that "the court or judge before whom the case was tried" may extend the time invalidates the order, because Judge Murphy was not the judge before whom it was tried, and that he was not the court after he returned to Carson City, where he made the order. In a nar row technical sence this may be true, if we do not look beyond the strict one lew wheel wagon, also harness for letter of the statute. But not so if six horses. House, barn and five lets | we consider the intent and purpose of

ently the object of this legislation was

Reno and entered the order in open oppropriation which has remained court it would have been good, but un- stationary may account for the shortder this contention if he had stepped through the door into the chambers and made it, it would have been void. Orders extending the time for filings by a civil engineer who had testified are business usually, or properly transacted in chambers and under ed the premises and made measure-Section 2573 can and ought to be made as effectually in any part of the ing capacity of the upper Twaddie State by the judge having the case in ditch, which is the old square flume charge, as if made by him in cham- near the Bowers' Mansion and grave, bers or in open court. Judge Murphy he measured the flow at 184 inches was merely acting for Judge Curler and the water lacked more than two during his vacation, but by analogy the construction claimed, if adopted, would, in every case where a district judge dies, resigns or is succeeded. invalidate the orders extending time unier section 197 made out of court by his sucessor in office, although had been impaired by age and abanthey are of that character ordinarily granted in champers. This would mean a distinction and two rules for filing orders of the same kind. and that the judge who had tried the cause as Judge Curier had done in pred below about filled the new V this instance, could make the order in flume, and he estimated that the oil least the privilege to that extent of or for other beneficial purposes, bechambers, while his successor could so make it only in the cases tried by him, and would have to be in court to make these simple orders extending time in actions which had been previously tried by another judge.

Appellants desired and were enticled to the time granted for the purpose of enabling them to secure from the court reporter who had left the State, a transcript of the testimony given on the trial, which would enable them to properly prepare the state-

Under Section 2573 Judge Curler could have made an order granting them the extension at any place in the State, and as during his absence Judge Murphy was requested by the Court minutes to attend to all business for him, we conclude that he was empowered to make the order at Car son City as he did, and as Judge Curler could have done, and that it wa: not necessary for him to make the trip to Reno and undergo the formality of opening court to enter ex parte orders simply extending time, such as are usually made out of court.

The motion to dismiss the appeal from the order overruling the motion for a new trial and to strike out the statement is denied.

ON THE MENITS

This action was brought by Alexander Twaddle in his life time and by Ebenezer Twaddle, as co-owners, for 450 miners inches running under a six inch pressure of the waters of Ophir Creek, alleged to have been approp- for the indement for the 24 inches riated by their grantors in the year which defendants' claim should be de-1856 "by means of dams, ditches and a flume" for the irrigation of their ranch containing 203.92 acres 'n Washoe county. The answer denies the allegation of the complaint sets up the ownership by the defendants. Winters, of a tract of land obut onmile wide and two miles long, and alleges appropia ons by them or their grantors aggregating 600 inches flowing under a four inch pressure, by the year 1867, which are stated to be prior to any diversion of the water by the plaintiffs, and asserts a claim for 13fendant, Longabaugh, to 180 inches for fluming wood, lumber and ice from large tracts of timber lands owned by him, and for domestic use and irrigating garden on forty acres at Ophir

Witnesses appeared to sustain, and others to dispute plaintiffs' right as same is true regarding the claims of these defendants. The record affords a glimpse of pioneer history at a perof towns by the banks of the stream

On behalf of the defendant other witnesses testified that they were over the ground and saw no ditch and that none existed there during those earlier years. It is unnecessary for us to detail the conflicting portions of the evidence. These were carefulfully considered by the district court, and for the reasons stated in its decision, enforced by statements in deeds made many years before any controversy arose, the finding that this ditch

was constructed and a prior appropriation of water made through it in 1857 finds ample support. At first on the Twaddle ranch land was plowed for only a garden and a small piece of reasonable time was allowed in which to extend and complete the use of the water that would flow through he ditch and the quantity of land irrigated was increased. The lower Twaddle ditch was constructed from Ophir Creek at some time prior to 1869 and runs to and irrigates the eastern portion of the plaintiffs' ranch It is shown that since that year at least their lands have been in practically the same state of cuitivation and irrigation that they were in at the ime of the commencement of this action, and that during that period

plaintiffs' used all the water they

which they had not tried or which teen yeas he had been using twice as the plaintiff, because he ran ther were rendered. were not properly under their control, much water from Ophir Creek in ad- water in his flume past their ditca in the recent case of Kansas v. Coloand yet in the case of the absence or dition to that from other streams, as and into one owned by Winters, an! rado before the Supreme Court of the inability of the judge who tried the he used during the first ten years that joined with the other defendants in onned States, Congressman Needham action, to grant relief, or allow ex- he cultivated his lands. As he claims answering and resisting the rights of testified that irrigation had doubled tensions to be made to deserving liti- and uses more than the plaintiffs, we plaintiffs. The decree does not pre- and trebled the value of preperty in The argument advanced concedes his diversion of the waters of the the creek in excess of the amount nia,, that they nad to depart from the that if Judge Murphy had gone to streams since the completion of their awarded to plaintiffs. Nor does it in uncertain rights and under age and dispute.

By consent of the parties in open court the district judge, accompanied as a witness for the defendants, view ments. At the point of least carry inches of reaching the top, A surveyor had testified for the plaintiffs that its capacity was 182 inches at this point, and that the canacity of 100 feet of old flume remaining up as the Bowers Mansion or grounds, nearer the head of the ditch which doned, and supplanted by a new V flume built above the old one by the plaintiffs in 1900, was 150 inches. At this point the judge found that 191 inches of water which he had meas- and that it amounts to a sale of a fame would carry from 200 to 300 in- running in it water which Lake had, tween April 15 and October 15 of ches. From his examination of the premises and the character of the soil | fendant Theodore | Winters, acquire! the court was of the opinion that the the Bowers Mansion and grounds inches awarded to them, when necesplaintiffs required, and were entitled through conveyances which did not to, at least the amount of water they had flowing in the flume at the time he made the examination, and he dacreed them a prior right to 184 miners inches running under a four inch pressure or 3 34-50 cubic feet per sec end from April 15th to Nov. 15th of each year, and 20 inches or 2-5 of one cubic foot per second for domestic use and watering stock at other times. It is claimed the amount allowed is not warranted by the evidence because more than the canacity of the upper Twaddle ditch as shown by the testimony mentioned fixing it at 189 inches at the point above the mansion, and at 150 inches along the 100 feet of old flume. through which the water flowed prior to 1900

It is not necessary to determine whether the court on its own examination and measurement may allow a quantity beyond the range of the evidence nor whether the surveyor could actually estimate the canacity of the 100 feet of old flume without knowing the volume and velocity of the water that entered it, nor whether the variation of one part in pinetone or the difference between 189 inches in his measurement and that of 184 by the judge should be disregarded as too triffing to he material and as a slight discrepancy to be expected. 170.45 peres of cultivated land lies above the upper ditch from Onbir Creek and a small portion is naturally swampy. The quantity of water allowed by the decree seems very liberal, both for irrigation and for domestic use and watering stock. Engineers and others testified that one half and three fifths of an inch of water per acre was sufficient, while vicinity varied in their estimates of the amount necessary from one and

one half to three and one half inches per acre. The evidence indicated that the plaintiffs had used as much water as that awarded to them and more, and had uniformly produced good crops Much of their land is sandy with considerable slope. After examining the soil and viewing the quantity of water as it ran on the premises, the couagreed with the testimony of the plaintiffs that that amount was necessary and adopted a mean between the highest and lowest estimates. The quantity of water requisite waries greatly with the soil; seasons, crops, and conditions, and we cannot

say that, the allowance is excessive. Alexander Twaddle testified that here were times during the summer, evidently short periods after the land had been irrigated, when it was not necessary to use as much as the unper ditch full of water. On such occasions and whenever it is not needed by the plaintiffs it should be turned to the defendants, if they have any beneficial use for it, and not per- essential under our chimitic condimitted to waste. It may be implie! by the law, but it is better to have the Common Law regarding the flow decrees specify, and especially so in this case, in view of the testimony grain and but little hay was cut. A stated and of the perpetual injunction, that the award of water is limited to a beneficial use at such times as it is needed, Gotelli v. Cardelli. The point and purpose of diversion may be changed if such change does not interefere with the prior rights.

'Under the testimony of Alexander Twaddle that the irrigating season closes about the first of October, and that sometimes he used water a little later, we think probably the decree should limit plaintiffs' right for icrigating purposes to October 15th. This may, allow defendant, Longahaugh to flume wood a month earlier at this season when the water is low. needed from Ophir Creek without in., and allow Winters more for watering Legislature have recognized the ad-

conclude that this large increase in vent him from taking any water. in Fresno and King counties, Califorany way interefere with the water be- that doctrine it would be difficult o provided he does not diminish the

flow to which plaintiffs are entitled. On May 30, 1877, John Twaddle, the father and predecessor in interest of the plaintiffs, conveyed to M. C. Lake "one-third of that certain water ditch appropriation and the application of and flume known as the Twaddle ditch, leading from what is now known as the Ophir creek to the land privilege of running water through 1864 said flume and ditch to what is known the expense of maintaining said part of the plaitniffs within thirty ditch and flume to be paid by each in proportion to their interests in same. It will be noted that this langauge does not purport to grant any water, but rather the right to convey water of water awarded to the plain to third interest in the ditch with at or might appropriate. Later, the demention any interest in this ditch, It does not appear that Lake or his the decree the words: grantors ever made any use of the ditch or ever contributed towards its repair.

Alexander Twaddle stated on the stand that he did not claim all this ditch and that the plaintiffs owned two thirds of it. Whether under this deed the one-third interest in the ditch became appurtenant to the Bowers land when it was never used for its irrigation, and later passed with the land without being mentioned, and whether after the lapse of twenty-five years without any use or contribution towards its repair the grantee of Lake has a third interest as a co-owner in the ditch and that part of the flume which has not becasuperceeded by the new one built by plaintiffs, are questions which we need not determine, for they, and that part of the judgment of the court which gives the plaintiffs the "exclu-and Flume," are not within the allegations of the pleadings wanch contain no reference to the exclusive use of, or a third or any interest in the Rent of county bldg.......250 00

Under the assertion in the complaint of the apropriation of water by means of certain gams, ditches and a flume" the court properly de- Slot machine license......282 00 ducted because in areass of the can- water through either or both the fore the construction of the V dume would have that right in the upper in 1900 is suported by the unding of aitch if their interest in it is only thirty-one years before the commence. fendants in the lower ditch, but ment of this suit used a portion of whether the grantee of Lake owns the water through the lower Twad, and can assert a right to an undividle ditch. It is urged that 184 inches ded one-third interest, is a question is more than required for the irriga- as foreign as the ownership of the tion of plaintiffs' ranch and that this mansion, and one which ought not is aspecially so because a few of their to be determined by the judgment in the absence of any issue or allegation concerning it. The defendants spe ifically excepted to finding number twelve in this regard. Patents for defendants' lands lying

along the banks of Ophir Creek were issued to their grantors before the passage of the Act of Congress of July 26, 1866 and it is asserted that Co School Fund Dist. 4.....24 00 for this reason a vested Common State School fund, Dist. 1. 2605 00 for the plaintiffs, farmers from the Law riparian right to the flow of the waters of Opnir Creek accrued of which they could not be deprived by that Act If this were crue defendants might as well be considered under Special building5850 00 the circumstances shown to have jost that right by acquiescence in the continued diversion of the water by plaintiffs for a period many times longer than that provided by the statute of limitations, but in this contention counsel is in error. We do not wish to consider seriously or at length an argument by which it is sought to have us over-rule well reasoned decisions of long standing in this and other arid states, and in the Supreme Court of the United States, such as Jones, v. Adams, Reno Samplin Works v. Stevenson and Broder .. Water Co., declaring that this statute was rather the voluntary recognition of a pre-existing right to water constituting a valid claim to its continued use, than the establishment of a new one. As time passes if pecomes more and more apparent that the law of ownersnip of water by prior appropriation for a beneficial purpose is tions to the general welfare, and that of streams which may be unobjection able in such localities as the Britis Isles and the coast of Oregon, Washington and northern California where rains are frequent and fogs and winds laden with mist from the acean prevail and moisten the soil, is unsuitable under our sunny skies where the lands are so arid that irrigation is Co. School Dist. fund - special required for the production of the crops necessary for the support and prosperity of the people. Irrigation Co. School Dist. fund 1, library is the life of our important and increasing agricultural interests which would be strangled by the enforce ment of the riparian principle.

Congress 'is apropriating millions for storage and distribution and our six horses. House, barn and five lets | we consider the intent and purpose of the water | and stock without material injury, to the vantages of conserving the water | Apply at Adam Bay, Silver City, Nev. the enactment, and construe it in the terruption except in 1887, 1898 and stock without material injury, to the above for use in frigation instead or

light of reason as applied to the or- at the the suit was begun. It plaintiffs. Although his flume was having it flow by lands of riparian dinary rules of practice, and give due appears that the plaintiffs' had not erected many years ago Longabaugn owners to finally waste by sinking and weight to the later section. Appar- materially increased their oppropriation did not show any prior appropriation evaporating in the desert. The Cailton in riy-aree years, while and the decree properly enjoins him fornia decisions cited for appellants to prevent the granting of extensions Theodore winters admitted upon the from interfereing with that part of may no longer be considered good and the meddling of judges in cases stand that during the last ten or fif- the water of Ophir Creek awarded to law even in the state in which they

longing to him coming from other make any future development; that sources. This he may turn into there has been a departure from the Ophir Creek and take out lower down principles laid down in Lux v. Haggin, because at that time the value of water was not realized, that the decisoin has been practically reversed by the same court on subsequent occasions, and that the doctrine of prior water to a beneficial use is in effect in force now in that State.

We must decline to award the deof said Twaddle, southerly from said fendants the waters of the stream as creek through the lands of C. F. riparian proprietors and patentees of Wooten and M. C. Lake, with the the land along its banks prior to

The case will be remanded for a new trial unless there is filed on the days from the filing hereof, a written consent that the judgment be modified by limiting the use of the 184 inches, or 3 34-50 cubic feet per second such times as may be necessary for ach year, and by allowing plaintifts sary for their household, domestic and stock purposes, and by striking from

"It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that said plaintiffs have the excusive right to use and the exclusive use of said Upper Twaddle Ditch and Flume at all seasons of the year. If such consent is so filed the aistrict court will modify the judgment

accordingly and as so modined the judgment and decree will stand affirm-Talbot, J. We concur: Fitzgerald, C. J.

Norcross J Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada. Receipts.

Filed Feb. 1, 1906. Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter ... \$40023 3634 Fee of Co. officers......531 46 Poll taxes620 46 1st. Instalment taxes.....14924 213 Special school tax......1710 90 Semi-Annual Set. State Treas 531 78 Total

Disbursements. General fund.......2732 32 Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series A, \$100.00250 00 Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series B \$100.00400 00 Co. School Fund. Dist. 1....388 95 Co. School fund, Dist. 2.....151 20 Co. School fund Dist. 3......30 70 State school fund, Dist 2...160 00 State School fund, dist.3 ... 120 00 State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00

School library, No. 2.......86 07 21,968 59% Re pitulation. Cash in Treasury October 190540023 36% Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 190521054 003 Disbursements from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 190521968 5914 Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906,..... 39108 7753 H. DIETERICH,

County Auditor: Recapitulation Co. School fund3248 74 Co. Schood Dist. 1, fund .. 7638 221/2 Co. School Dist. 2, fund.....139 64 Co. School Dist. 3, fund..... 190 2616 Co. School Dist. 3, fund.....425 55 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist. 2, fund.....77 51 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 3> State School Dist 4, fund..... 19 23 Agl. Assn. Fund A........680 824 Agl. Assn Fund. B......86 86* Agl. Assn Fund Special...1918 94

Ce School Dist. fund 3, library 6 50 Co. School Dist fund 4, library

...... 6 10 39108 77% H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer

Whenever You Feel at Lefeure W. D.A. Carson, Sc. 1.